

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/171,921

Applicant(s)

Hillary Lyndsay Williams

Examiner

Ronald Laneau

Group Art Unit

2674



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ronald Laneau

(3) _____

(2) Raymond Y. Mah

(4) _____

Date of Interview Oct 9, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

none

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) N/A

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

In a telephonic interview, Examiner indicates to Mr. Raymond Y. Mah, applicant's representative, that the advisory action (10/2/01) was sent in error and that amendment filed on 9/24/01 has been entered. A response to the amendment will be forthcoming.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Ronald Laneau

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.